

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/805,345	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FUISZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> William J. Allen	<b>Art Unit</b> 3625	

**All Participants:**

 (1) William J. Allen.

 (2) Mike Stein.
**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 June 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 29, 30, 33, and 38


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
**Part III.**
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Stein in order to notify Mr. Stein of allowable subject matter and suggest amending the claims for clarification of a "prime motivator product". Mr Stein agreed to the proposed amendment and amended the claims accordingly.